1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA BEFORE THE HONORABLE CARL W. HOFFMAN, MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
3	_	000	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	
5	Plaintiff,	: : No. 2:16-cr-100-GMN-CWH	
6	-vs-	: : May 26, 2017	
7	JAN ROUVEN FUECHTENER,	: : Las Vegas, Nevada	
8	Defendant.	: :	
9		·	
10			
11	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING		
12			
13	APPEARANCES:		
14		ARK WOOLF and CRISTINA SILVA ssistant United States Attorneys	
15		as Vegas, Nevada	
16			
17		KAREN CONNOLLY and VINCENT SAVARESE Attorneys at Law Las Vegas, Nevada	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22		Margaret E. Griener, CCR #3, FCRR Official Reporter 400 South Virginia Street Reno, Nevada 89501	
23	40		
24			
25			

```
that's fine.
 1
 2
                   MR. SAVARESE:
                                  Thank you.
 3
                   THE COURT: Ms. Connolly, Mr. Savarese, can you
     hear me?
 4
                   MS. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, can I put on the
 5
 6
     record my client has not been transported, correct?
 7
                               That's right, he's not been brought
                   THE COURT:
 8
     in, and what I'm going to do is I'm going to ask questions
 9
     that need to be answered, and so we're really not going to do
10
     anything here other than I'm going to deliver those questions,
11
     and then we'll set up a briefing schedule. So I don't think
12
     that Mr. Fuechtener needs to be here today.
13
                   MS. CONNOLLY: Okay.
                                         Thank you, sir.
14
                   THE COURT: Okay. Number 170 is the
15
     government's emergency motion to stay the order that I entered
16
     which authorized the holding of the funds, and, instead, what
17
     the government wants is an order to remit all remaining funds.
18
                   So here are the questions that I have because I
19
     want to be able to understand some things that are not clear
20
     right now.
21
                   First of all, I would like the government to
2.2
     provide information regarding the status of the sale of the
23
     house.
24
                   It appears from what I've read now that the
25
     house has already been sold, the buyers have moved into the
```

2.2

4-

house. So my experience is, when that happens is the money is already disbursed. So where's the money?

So that's my first question, and you don't have to answer it, I'd like to see it in writing so that the other side can see it, too, and has a chance to deliberate on it.

My second question is this: Please provide information regarding the efforts that the United States has made to locate victims in this case, and provide information that supports the assertion that there may be more victims who come forward.

I mean, my sense is that the -- over the last months the government has reached out to potential victims, identified them, they've come forward, and so that's the basis for restitution or payments in this case.

Is it realistic that there will be more victims?

I want to understand how the government goes about doing that
in order to figure out if more money should be set aside.

The next question that I have or the need for information that I have is regarding the U.S.'s intent to seek fines at sentencing. Now, we've talked about what the potential sentence could be and what the potential fines are, but we haven't talked about what the government's intent is.

And then the fourth -- the fourth issue is a -- going to be more of a briefing task.

The government has made much out of the idea

5-

that the defendant intends to withdraw his plea. Now, if he simply asks to withdraw the plea but is unsuccessful, then what is the consequence to the plea agreement?

In other words, is the plea agreement null and void simply because he asked to withdraw and was unsuccessful?

I don't know that, and I don't know what the government's position would be.

The second question is if the -- if the defendant is allowed to withdraw his plea, then he's facing trial, facing sentencing, he's like any other postindictment preconviction defendant. So my question is what is the authority of the Court to restrain money from an individual who is presumed innocent.

Now, you've provided cases that support the order that the Court already issued which was postconviction presentence seizure of funds, and that's what the Court has already done.

You've provided cases that would allow the Court, based on probable cause, to seize funds that are traceable to the offense that's been committed, fraud cases, for example, so you've provided those cases.

I saw no cases, though, that allowed the Court to reach out and seize funds before conviction, and if Mr. Fuechtener is allowed to withdraw his plea of guilty and he's not guilty, there's going to be have to be a trial, and I

```
1
     don't think the Court has authority to do what's being
 2
     proposed if Mr. Fuechtener is allowed to withdraw his plea.
 3
                   But that's an important question that hasn't
     been answered so that's a briefing issue that needs to come.
 4
 5
                   So those are the questions that I have.
 6
                   Let me first ask if there's any clarification,
 7
     need for clarification, and then, secondly, I want to talk
 8
     about what the briefing schedule ought to be.
 9
                   So, first of all, from the government, is there
10
     a need for any clarification on my questions?
11
                   MS. SILVA: We don't have any questions in terms
12
     of what the Court is seeking -- answers the Court is seeking
13
     from us.
14
                   We do have questions about the order as it
15
     stands now and what happens pending the briefing schedule, and
16
     once we set that, we probably can discuss that particular
17
     issue.
18
                   THE COURT:
                               Okay.
                                      So let's -- so no questions
19
     on what my questions are then.
20
                   MS. SILVA:
                               No, your Honor.
21
                   THE COURT:
                               From Ms. Connolly or Mr. Savarese,
22
     do you have any questions about the questions that I have?
23
                   MS. CONNOLLY:
                                  Judge, I want to emphasize that
24
     all I've indicated so far is my client may move to withdraw
```

his plea.

2.2

There is another concern that has been brought to my attention, that the government apparently in exertion of its power has directed the title company not to release any proceeds. So basically the title company is acting as if this Court has already ordered all of the funds to be frozen.

Now, I understand the apprehension from the title company when they receive a communication from the government, and this has all happened in a flurry of activity within the last 24 hours, and I'm not sure exactly what they have been told.

But I do -- I did receive something from the title company indicating that they are going to hold all of the funds, and I don't want to say that they've been directed to do by the government because I don't know.

And so the Court is aware, I have an appellate brief which is due today which I have been working on so I haven't had an opportunity to review all the communications including those sent by the title company, so I don't want to misrepresent what the government has or has not done.

But I do have an e-mail from the escrow -- the title company saying that they're not going to release any of them, not just the 80,300 as ordered by you. So I just wanted to say that, and Mr. Savarese may have some comments.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Savarese?

MR. SAVARESE: I do not have --

deposit 80,300 with the clerk of the court pending sentencing.

25

9-

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

MS. CONNOLLY: Judge, then I think if I was the title company and I had been inundated with all this stuff from the United States government, I would probably back off and say I'm not going to distribute any of these proceeds also. There's nothing that, you know, I can possibly do to them, however, I can understand their reticence.

So I would ask the Court just to clarify that all they are required to do is to, you know, hold those -- hold those funds because what I've unequivocally been told by them, and they're not lawyers, obviously, and, you know, being inundated with all this information and legal documentation from the government, I think they backed away and threw their hands up and said, "Okay, we're not going to do anything," which I don't think is what the Court ordered.

THE COURT: Well, I think my order speaks for itself.

MR. WOOLF: I guess I'd like to know whether

Ms. Connolly represents the title company. The title company
is certainly free to determine how it's going to view the --

MS. CONNOLLY: Well, I have -- I can read the e-mail that I just got from the title company, and I don't know what to misstate, but it's a representation that's based upon what they've been provided by the government.

If you give me a second, I can --

```
MR. WOOLF: Your Honor, Ms. Connolly has been
 1
 2
     cc'd on every communication from the government to the title
 3
     company.
                   MS. CONNOLLY: As I indicate, I have an
 4
 5
     appellate brief that's due today, and that's what I've been
 6
     doing so -- but I --
 7
                   THE COURT: Well, Ms. Connolly -- Ms. Connolly,
 8
     I'm not going to issue an order that's different from what I
 9
     already issued.
                      I mean, the order is what it is, and what the
10
     title company does with it is their business.
11
                   If they're apprehensive, then, you know, they
12
     have ways to clarify the question. I don't think that I'm
13
     going to go back to the title company and clarify what I've
14
     already said because they've expressed some concern to you.
15
                   MS. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, I'm looking for
16
     specific e-mails.
17
                   MR. SAVARESE: It may be that an independent
18
     action may lie, which we spoke to the title company, for not
19
     limiting their restraint to the context of the Court's
20
     existing order.
21
                   But I think that the Court is correct that the
2.2
     order outstanding as it is now speaks for itself.
23
                   THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Savarese, do you have
24
     anything else that you want to ask as to the --
25
                   MS. CONNOLLY: Judge, can I -- Judge, can I know
```

who made that comment? Can the person who spoke please introduce himself so I know who it is?

MR. SAVARESE: That was -- that was myself, Vincent Savarese.

MS. CONNOLLY: Okay.

2.2

MR. SAVARESE: Your Honor, I do not have any questions regarding the Court's questions. I've written them all down, and I think I've got them accurately, and I think I well understand your intent and the import of all of your inquiries.

THE COURT: Okay. And then my next question is going to be on the briefing schedule, and, you know, the government has filed it as an emergency so I -- I guess I want to know what you think.

MS. SILVA: Sure. And to be clear, the reason we filed it as an emergency is because post the hearing on Wednesday we learned the house had been sold and the funds were kind of sitting with the title company, could have been disbursed right after that hearing or before that, and so we called it as an emergency for the reasons that we set forth in the motion.

So knowing that it is an emergency, but also given it's a holiday weekend, we are -- we could probably file a response Wednesday without an issue, but our concern remains that all the funds would disappear over the weekend.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I understand that the title company has expressed concern, and, as the Court noted, that's of the title company's accord. They have a right to do that, but that is why we sought the emergency stay, and if we could have that emergency stay in place until the abbreviated scheduling -- the briefing is done would be the government's motion -- request, the release of all the funds. THE COURT: Well, I would think that the company wouldn't be open over the weekend to disburse funds, but I'm willing to accept your brief over the weekend or on Monday, which is a holiday, and then give the defendant the opportunity to respond until, you know, sometime on Tuesday or Wednesday. MS. SILVA: We can get that to your Honor. THE COURT: I can do it as fast as you want to go. MS. CONNOLLY: And, Judge, the initial -- the initial document I got from the Court was that we were given until June 8th to file a response. I would ask for that briefing schedule because -- unless Mr. Savarese may be able to get something together quicker than that, I'm in court all day on Tuesday, and I have court all next week so --MR. SAVARESE: I have a hearing before Judge Boulware on Tuesday. I just learned that -- I had a trial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
scheduled to begin on Tuesday before Judge Boulware, but it
appears that he's granted a motion to suppress that I filed in
the matter that's dispositive so that may not go -- that will
not go forward.
              But I do believe that I am going to be required
to go forward with a revocation of supervised release hearing
before Judge Boulware that will be an evidentiary hearing, and
that will at least occupy me on Tuesday.
              After that I -- I could -- you know, I could
make any other date next week that the Court would require,
but I would ask to be free for Tuesday.
              MS. CONNOLLY: And I have -- I have another
trial in family court on Thursday so I think we obviously need
time to be able to --
              THE COURT: Okay. I understand.
              I want to get the briefing done because there is
an emergency -- there's a motion for emergency stay. I'm not
going to stay anything today that I haven't already stayed,
but I want to get more information from the government.
              MR. WOOLF:
                          The government can definitely file
its response to your questions by close of business Tuesday.
              THE COURT: By close of business Tuesday? All
right.
       And so the --
              MS. CONNOLLY: I have a trial in family court
all day next Thursday, and I'm in family court all day
```

```
1
     Tuesday.
 2
                   THE COURT:
                               I understand. Sounds like
 3
     Wednesday --
 4
                   MS. CONNOLLY:
                                 Wednesday I would be available to
 5
     work on a response, but I don't really get all day on that
 6
     day, but I just want to make the Court aware of my schedule.
 7
                   THE COURT: Okay. I understand.
 8
                   The government's response to my questions will
 9
     be due at the close of business on Tuesday. The defense
10
     response, if any, will be due on the close of business on
11
     Wednesday, and I tentatively will -- my intent is to have a
12
     hearing on Thursday if I need it.
13
                   I've got duty next week as well, so I've got to
14
     work that into the schedule. So I'm not sure when it would be
15
     that I'll have time to do it, but that's my intent right now.
16
                   So I get this information, and I'll continue to
17
     work on trying to get this thing up to Judge Navarro in the
18
     form of a report and recommendation which then everybody will
19
    be able to respond to. So --
20
                   MR. SAVARESE: Your Honor, may I -- this is
21
     Vincent Savarese.
2.2
                   May I inquire, now that I think about it, I
23
     think I ought to ask for the following clarification.
24
     seems that most of the Court's questions pertain to
25
     informative sort of information.
```

1	And then with respect to the matter of the	
2	authority of the Court to order the seizure of funds assuming	
3	that there's a withdrawal of plea, that is a question that I'm	
4	assuming the Court wants points and authorities on.	
5	THE COURT: True.	
6	MR. SAVARESE: Am I correct?	
7	THE COURT: Yes.	
8	MR. SAVARESE: Yes. It does not seem to me	
9	and the other matters as regarding whether the agreement is	
10	null and void if withdrawal of plea is sought would also be a	
11	matter that would require points and authorities.	
12	THE COURT: I think you're right, yes.	
13	MR. SAVARESE: And other than those issues, it	
14	seems to me that the balance of the Court's concerns pertain	
15	to more or less status information.	
16	THE COURT: I agree, yes.	
17	MR. SAVARESE: Thank you, Judge.	
18	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from anybody?	
19	MR. SAVARESE: No, your Honor.	
20	MR. WOOLF: Nothing from the government.	
21	MS. CONNOLLY: Nothing from Karen Connolly.	
22	MR. WOOLF: For clarification, the order that	
23	you have issued remains in full force and effect.	
24	THE COURT: Yes.	
25	MR. WOOLF: Correct?	